This is G o o g I e's cache of http://www.insightmag.com/main.cfm/include/detail/storvid/246188.html as retrieved on Nov 8, 2005 06:02:45 GMT. Google's cache is the snapshot that we took of the page as we crawled the web. The page may have changed since that time. Click here for the current page without highlighting. This cached page may reference images which are no longer available. Click here for the cached text only. To link to or bookmark this page, use the following url: http://www.google.com/search? q=cache:zNSIwfTx4vAJ:www.insightmag.com/main.cfm/include/detail/storyid/246188.html+Government+Fails+Fiscal+Fitness+Test&hl=en&client=safari

Google is neither affiliated with the authors of this page nor responsible for its content.

These search terms have been highlighted: government fails fiscal fitness test





agencies, INSIGHT is convinced the U.S. government doesn't cook its books - your Letter to Editor government is honest enough to Submit a Tip admit that it just doesn't know where the money went. Free eNewsletter

> The point of the annual audit reports is to inform Congress and the American people how, where and why their hard-earned tax dollars were spent during the previous year. The audits are a

barometer that tells Congress whether a particular agency or department is fiscally responsible and whether it should be entrusted with additional funds.

Department of Agriculture

Department of Defense

Department of Education

Management Agency

Urban Development

Department of Justice

Department of State

Department of the Interior

Department of Health and

Federal Emergency

Human Services Department of Housing and F

0-

D.

0+

D.

D.

D-

D-

D

D

0

D-

About Us Set Homepage Login

Site Sign Up

Discussion

MARKETPLACE

SERVICES

Search

Archives

Forums

LINKSHARE Suggest a Site Insight Favorites Washington Times National Weekly Edition

World & I Middle East Times

Media

Business Government Nonprofits Reference

But this is an age of euphemism, so the money being provided to the government by taxpayers is called everything but money, becoming assets and transactions in vague imitation of business. The government also has its own terms for missing money, including "unsupported entries," "material-control weakness," "adjusted records," "unmatched disbursements," "abnormal balances" and "unreconciled differences."

While INSIGHT consistently has reported money problems plaguing the government — and in particular the Department of Defense (DoD) — this year DoD has been the recipient not only of its annual budgeted appropriations but of additional tens of billions of dollars to fight the war on terrorism. It therefore seems appropriate to take a look at how the federal defense establishment is handling your money.

Please visit.

According to U.S. Comptroller General David Walker, "To date, none of the military services or major DoD components have passed the test of an independent financial audit." Walker continues, "DoD faces financial-

Make 668% on Two Stocks
Las Vegas Real Estate
Bad Credit Home Loans
Build a Website
Fundraising Ideas
Work from Home
Travel Insurance
Shopping Cart
Colorado Health Insurance
Free government grants
Mortgages
Printer ink cartridges
Debt Consolidation
The Pampered Pup
Medical Supplies Equipment
Trade Show Displays
InkJet Cartridges

Sponsored Links

Insight Poll Will Christopher

Reeves' death boost partisan stem cell debate? OYes ONo Maybe Makes no difference ○ Will focus

debate on alternativ R & D

VOTE RESULTS



management problems that are pervasive, complex, long-standing and deeply rooted in virtually all business operations throughout the department."

In a report to the DoD comptroller, Undersecretary of Defense Dov Zakheim, acting Assistant Inspector General for Auditing David Steensma wrote: "We reported that DOD processed \$1.1 trillion in unsupported accounting entries to DOD Component financial data used to prepare departmental reports and DOD financial statements for FY2000. For FY2001 we did not attempt to quantify amounts of unsupported accounting entries; however, we did confirm that DOD continued to enter material amounts of unsupported accounting entries to the financial data."

What this gibberish means is that the DoD still cannot account for at least \$1.1 trillion from **fiscal** 2000 under former president Bill Clinton, and the assistant inspector general of DOD wouldn't even touch the unsupported money expenditures for **fiscal** 2001 because "material amounts" still couldn't be accounted for properly in the year George W. Bush came to power. The trillion-dollar question is how much is "material amounts"? Because the auditor would not "quantify" the amount, some fear it's worse than the previous year's unaccounted for \$1.1 trillion.

Of course the Department of the Army, headed by former Enron executive Thomas White, had an excuse. In a shocking appeal to sentiment it says it didn't publish a "stand-alone" financial statement for 2001 because of "the loss of financial-management personnel sustained during the Sept. 11 terrorist attack."

So where is that missing \$1.1 trillion? Traditionally the top dogs at the Pentagon haven't liked the word "missing." The rationale at DoD has been that just because the money can't be accounted for doesn't mean it is lost, stolen or strayed. According to Susan Hansen, a spokeswoman for DoD: "These are unsupported entries. When the auditors go to audit the books and they look at the balance sheet for the year, someone has entered in an adjustment because they made an error somewhere."

You see, continues Hansen: "They don't carry the transaction across; there's no way they can track it to where the adjustment first came from. These are called 'unsupported' adjustments. In auditing you have to follow the trail from the first time that the entry first enters the system to the time it leaves it. In the Defense Department it means that if you bought a piece of equipment and it moved from the Army to the Navy to the Air Force over the course of years, you have to be sure that piece of equipment and its dollar value is the same piece of equipment."

Hansen concludes that the problem is with "the way the Defense Department's records systems were set up ... They weren't set up to do this kind of commercial bookkeeping. So what people have done is added up all of these adjustments, entries or auditor's notes on the side of the audits and have come up with these huge amounts. And somehow along the way, in the course of conversations, it has been referred to as 'missing' money. It's not missing. It just cannot be supported with our current systems in a standard bookkeeping system."

Voilà! It's the accounting systems that don't account for the vanished money that are responsible for the inability of anyone at DoD to find \$1.1 trillion that's, well, missing.

Despite its unique position of never having been able to produce a credible audit, DoD is not the only governmental agency to have money problems. For example, the comptroller general further reported that, "as in the four previous **fiscal** years, we were unable to express an opinion on the governmentwide consolidated financial statements because of certain material weaknesses in internal control and accounting and reporting issues. These conditions prevented us from being able to provide the Congress and American citizens an opinion as to whether the consolidated financial statements are fairly stated in conformity with U.S. generally

accepted accounting principles."

In other words, the top auditor is saying that the agencies and departments of the federal **government** couldn't properly account for the money each was entrusted with and, therefore, he couldn't produce a legitimate consolidated financial statement.

Comptroller General Walker did mention that several agencies are working diligently to put reliable financial-management systems in place. For example, Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld has set aside \$100 million to fix the Pentagon's accounting system. And the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), which under Andrew Cuomo couldn't account for \$59 billion in its **fiscal** 1999 audit, again has changed course on its accounting system (see "Why Is \$59 Billion Missing from HUD?" Nov. 6, 2000, and "Inside HUD's Financial Fiasco," June 25, 2001). Rather than scrap the notoriously flawed HUD Central Account and Program System (HUDCAPS), the department now has decided to keep it until a review can be completed.

An ironic twist to the problems HUD is having with its financial-management system is that American Management Systems (AMS), which has the contract for HUDCAPS, recently reported increased profits. Despite the fact that HUDCAPS seemingly doesn't work, and hasn't since the day it was installed, AMS still is getting paid — leaving many critics to wonder if there should be a federal lemon law for bum financial-services contractors.

But not to worry, HUD apparently has learned how to work around "unreconciled differences." According to the most recent audit, "an immaterial difference exists between HUD's recorded fund balance with the U.S. Treasury and the U.S. Department of Treasury's records. It is the department's practice to 'adjust' its records to agree with Treasury's balances at the end of the **fiscal** year."

In other words, if HUD's checkbook reflects a balance of \$1 billion and Treasury reflects HUD's balance as \$2 billion, HUD just accepts Treasury's balance and moves on as if everything were just fine. The unaccounted for \$1 billion is regarded as "immaterial."

Overall, the federal **government** earned a grade of "D" from its auditors for financial management in **fiscal 2001**; 16 of the 24 federal agencies received lower grades than the previous year. More important is that year after year key information continues to be missing from the audits — beginning with which companies have federal contracts for designing, bringing online and maintaining those financial systems that consistently are blamed for producing unauditable books.

In October 2001, Rep. Steve Horn (R-Calif.), chairman of the House Government Reform subcommittee on Government Efficiency, Financial Management and Intergovernmental Relations, told INSIGHT that "if some government contractors are unable to develop systems that can provide that type of information, Congress needs to know it, and we're asking GAO [the Government Accounting Office] to look into it."

To date, no audit from any **government** agency or department lists or even mentions the contractors getting paid hundreds of millions of dollars to produce reliable accounting systems. And despite the fact that Congress has oversight of agency spending, and every year tells the agencies to get their financial houses in order, even Congress says it doesn't know which companies have the contracts to put reliable accounting systems in place.

Because none of the information provided to the comptroller general is reliable enough to form an opinion of the **government's** financial standing, next year Congress may want to scrap the financial grading system it put in place for the agencies and instead require a "contractor" grade card. If those contractors continue to fail, the agencies have no hope of succeeding. That is, assuming the financial accounting systems really are responsible

for the fact that trillions of dollars can't be ac-counted for and that the bureaucrats aren't just cooking the books.

Tracking the Money

Recent articles on Federal Finance by Kelly Patricia O'Meara

Why Is \$59 Billion Missing From HUD?

Nov. 6, 2000

Inside HUD's Financial Fiasco

June 25, 2001

A Financial Fiasco Is in the Making

July 30, 2001

Rumsfeld Inherits Financial Mess

Sept. 3, 2001

Total Lack of Trust

Sept. 17, 2001

Wasted Riches

Oct. 22, 2001

Bureaucrats Circle Their Wagons

Dec. 31, 2001

All That Glitters Is Not Gold

March 4, 2002

Kelly Patricia O'Meara is an investigative reporter for Insight.

email the author



Email Story to a Friend



Printer Friendly Version

Copyright © 1990-2004 News World Communications, Inc.
Editorial Feedback
Website Feedback

Spyware Remover Download PC Magazine Editor's Choice Winner 5 Star

Anti-Spyware. Download Now! www.pctools.com

Servers Direct Intel, Supermicro, Chenbro and more Rack and Tower servers and chassis

www.serversdirect.com

Cache
Reduce Bandwidth Utilization by 95% Visit us for a free consultation

www.riverbed.com

Ads by Gopogopale