UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX . Docket No. CA 96-1258

RELATOR ERVIN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

. Washington, D.C.

. March 9, 1998 11:00 a.m.

vs.

HAMILTON SECURITIES GROUP, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

TRANSCRIPT OF SEALED MATTER BEFORE THE HONORABLE STANLEY SPORKIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

ANTHONY ALEXIS, ESQ. U.S. Attorney's Office 555 Fourth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 22001

Tucker Flyer

By: DANIEL M. HAWKE, ESQ.

1615 L Street, N.W.

Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036

For the Defendant:

Court Reporter:

BEVERLY J. BYRNE

Official Court Reporter Room 6810 U.S. Courthouse Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 273-0899

Proceedings reported by stenomask, transcript produced from dictation.

PROCEEDINGS

THE DEPUTY CLERK: U.S. ex rel Ervin versus Hamilton Securities, Civil Action 96-1258.

THE COURT: Has this got to do with the subpoena?

MR. ALEXIS: It's the same matter, Your Honor, but in a different fashion. This is the qui tem lawsuit, and the United States had to actually move to enforce a subpoena. And because of that, we haven't seen any of those documents that allow us to conduct an evaluation.

THE COURT: How much more time are you going to need?

MR. ALEXIS: At this point I see at a minimal 120 days, because as I understand they're going to meet with the special master or they've already met with the special master, and then as they get the documents, that's probably going to take --

THE COURT: How's he working out?

MR. ALEXIS: My understanding is well. They worked late into the night on Friday, and they have a meeting today with him. All weekend actually.

THE COURT: He's a pretty savvy guy.

MR. ALEXIS: Yes. I understand that the government is happy, and that they are moving forward, which should allow us --

THE COURT: I forgot. They have a lot of extra

```
space because they just came out of a big case. They did a
 1
     billion dollar -- they were a billion dollar special master.
 2
 3
     I don't know if you remember that. It was the Prudential
     case. They gave away a billion dollars.
 4
               All right. So they have some space there.
 5
     is an ideal situation as I see it, and what I compliment them
 6
     on is that they're willing to do it almost pro bono because
 7
     that cost does not -- whether it was $100, right, I put in
 8
 9
     there.
               MR. ALEXIS: Yes, which is private firm language is
10
11
     you're losing money.
               THE COURT: Mr. Goldman couldn't take it because,
12
     well, first of all, he didn't like the money, but secondly,
13
     you needed someone right away, and he wasn't available.
14
               All right. What's the next thing?
15
               MR. ALEXIS: After we -- well, we would just like --
16
               THE COURT: All right. Let's give a date, 120 which
17
     is what date?
18
               MR. ALEXIS: July 10.
19
                           July 10 at what time?
20
               THE COURT:
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: As a status?
21
               THE COURT:
                           Yes.
22
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: We can do that at 10:30.
23
               THE COURT: July 10 at 10:30. All right.
24
25
               What's the next thing?
```

```
1
               MR. ALEXIS: And that the matter stay under seal
 2
     until our hearing.
               THE COURT: Right.
 3
               MR. ALEXIS: That's all the government --
 4
               THE COURT: All right. We better tell the special
 5
     master it's under seal. Does he know that?
 6
 7
               MR. ALEXIS: I believe he does. Yes, he does.
               THE COURT: Now, but there's another matter I had.
 8
    When is that? Are you involved in that?
 9
               MR. ALEXIS: I hope not. I haven't heard about it.
10
               THE COURT: It's the same case, isn't it? Well, I
11
     think they got to be in on it, don't they? Well, somebody
12
     else is trying to get those records.
13
               MR. ALEXIS: Which records?
14
               THE COURT: What's the name of that case?
15
               THE LAW CLERK: It's the Drumm case.
16
               THE COURT: U.S. versus Drumm?
17
               THE LAW CLERK: No, it's Drumm versus Hamilton
18
     Securities.
19
               MR. ALEXIS: Oh, I don't believe that's my case.
20
               THE COURT: Well, it's somebody's case.
21
22
               THE LAW CLERK: It's a separate party.
               MR. ALEXIS: Is it a FOIA case?
23
               THE COURT: No, no, no.
24
               THE LAW CLERK: Litigation in Louisiana.
25
```

1	MR. ALEXIS: Okay. I've heard about that.
2	THE COURT: Somebody has got to be here, because
3	they're trying to get the special they're going to try to
4	get the records that the special master has.
5	MR. ALEXIS: And that's the one that's been handled
6	by main Justice I believe. It must be. I haven't heard of
7	the case before.
8	THE COURT: Well, somebody better get on that. And
9	somebody from your office ought to be here.
10	MR. ALEXIS: What time is that?
11	THE DEPUTY CLERK: 2:30 I think. 2:30 this
12	afternoon.
13	THE COURT: It's U.S. versus what's that, U.S.
14	versus Drumm Real Estate?
15	THE LAW CLERK: No, it's Drumm
16	THE COURT: I have it as U.S. versus Drumm Real
17	Estate.
18	MR. ALEXIS: And there is no
19	THE DEPUTY CLERK: It's Miscellaneous Case No.
20	98-66.
21	MR. ALEXIS: And there is no one that's actually
22	entered their appearance for the United States yet?
23	The problem with 2:00 o'clock, Your Honor, actually
24	I'm in training right now out in Reston.
25	THE COURT: Well, I can't do these people are

coming in from New Orleans. 1 MR. ALEXIS: Okay. 2 THE COURT: And it's been scheduled. 3 MR. ALEXIS: I'll find someone if I -- if I will not 4 be here, I'll find someone. 5 THE COURT: Maybe the attorney from the Department 6 ought to be here. Somebody ought to be knowledgeable. 7 did, because what it is is they're seeking records. They got 8 9 a subpoena. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ALEXIS: And Hamilton obviously can't comply if they don't have the documents any more would probably be Hamilton's response.

Okay. I'll make sure that someone is here.

THE COURT: And I think if they're going to -- if it's going to require the special master, then they got to pay some of the costs, the difference in -- you wouldn't have it, because Hamilton doesn't have any money, but it seems to me that if the special master is going to have to do something in that case, so you'll have to make some arrangement.

MR. ALEXIS: Okay.

I think it's just appropriate. THE COURT:

MR. ALEXIS: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ALEXIS: 2:30?

THE COURT: All right. Next case then.

MR. ALEXIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. I'll tell you, I've seen
complicated cases before. With all these parties, this is
going to be complicated beyond belief.
MR. ALEXIS: I don't think it's going to ever get
any easier. There's a ripple effect because of all the note
sales, so it's only going to get harder as people start to use
the note sales, effective note sales that defense is asked to
pay back.
Are the pleadings under seal in that matter?
THE DEPUTY CLERK: No.
MR. ALEXIS: Can I have the docket number so I can
get
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Miscellaneous No. 98-66.
(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 11:10
o'clock a.m.)

25

CERTIFICATE

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

BEVERLY J. BYRNE Official Court Reporter