ER'NAY 06 '99 03:25PM ERVIN & ASSOCIATES 301 469 3433
ASSOCIATES

FL-265536

To:_	William	Barth	·-	

Date: 5/6/99

From: David Ervin

Pages: 3
(Includes cover page)

Sender's Phone: 301/469-3424

Message:

Please see arrached.

1999 HAY -7 A b: 21

NOTICE:

The information contained in this facsimile message, which contains the number of pages noted above, may contain confidential information that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at the number below. Thank you.

ERVIN and ASSOCIATES

PI-265536

May 6, 1999

Mr. William K. Barth
Managing Attorney
Office of General Counsel, Freedom of Information Act Division
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 Seventh Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20410-0500

Via Facsimile to (202) 401-7901

Re:

Request for Information Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) - Independent Analysis of Bid Results

Dear Mr. Barth:

In a December 12, 1997 letter from Hal C. DeCell III, Assistant Secretary, to the Honorable Connie Mack, Chairman, Subcommittee on Housing Opportunity and Community Development, Mr. DeCell stated:

The Department has initiated an independent analysis of the bid results [of HUD's Note Sales].

(See page 16 of response to Senator Mack, attached hereto).

Under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, please provide us with all documents identifying the results of HUD's independent analysis, as well as <u>all</u> workpapers or other documents which were relied upon or otherwise referred to by HUD in conducting the independent analysis.

Ervin and Associates agrees to pay up to \$50 for the processing of this request. If the anticipated fees are to exceed \$50, please call me <u>immediately</u> at (301) 469-3422 so I may have an opportunity to reformulate the request or approve the additional fees without delaying the processing of the request.

Very truly yours,

ERVIN and ASSOCIATES, INCORPORATED

David J. Ervin

באב כסף גשב. בשותנטטכבת א ווניאש ווחסגיבש ככ סש ואון.

FI-265536

## RESPONSE TO 11/14/97 REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

with respect to records that refer or relate to the impact of the error on winners and losers in note sales, attached is the unsigned December 4, 1996 draft memorandum from Hamilton to the FHA Comptroller and the unsigned December 20, 1996 memorandum from Hamilton to the Assistant Secretary for Housing/Federal Housing Commissioner and the FHA Comptroller. FHA asserts that it is not aware of any specific complaints from bid losers. The Department is also unaware of any existing records that refer or relate to whether the government is exposed to any liability from those bidders that were affected by the error. The Department has initiated an independent analysis of the bid results.

(3) Records that refer or relate to why no effort was made to recover the alleged loss when it was initially discovered about a year ago.

While the Department is not aware of any documents directly responsive to this question, as set out above in response to a related question (question (1) in section B.), the Office of Housing offers the following narrative explanation:

Commissioner Retsinas has stated that his overriding priority was to maintain the momentum and results achieved by the note sales program, while protecting the integrity of the sale process and securing HUD's right to recover any damages that might have resulted. At the time the optimization errors were disclosed to HUD, HUD was in the market with an asset sale and had another sale scheduled within a month. In those two sales HUD returned \$874 million in net income to the Treasury.

Commissioner Retsinas took the following steps upon learning of the errors:

- Directed the adoption of procedures to ensure and verify that no mistakes were made in the immediately upcoming sales, thereby capping any damages to HUD from the errors. Specifically:
  - 1) Hamilton was directed to provide a full report documenting what happened and the financial impact of the errors;
  - 2) A new protocol was imposed requiring Hamilton and Lucent to each independently verify that instructions for use of the optimization model were consistent with instructions to bidders: